IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

SCOTTY LEMOND BARKER, #2768,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	
v.	§ §	Case No. 6:23-cv-189-JDK-KNM
HENDERSON COUNTY JAIL, et al.,	§ §	
Defendants.	§ §	

ORDER ADOPTING THE REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff, an inmate of the Henderson County Jail proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights lawsuit pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 about alleged violations of his constitutional rights. The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On June 7, 2023, the Magistrate Judge ordered service upon and a response from Defendant Colton Alsobrook in this case. Docket No. 14. On that same day, the Magistrate Judge submitted a Report and Recommendation that Plaintiff's claims against the Henderson County Jail be dismissed for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A(b) and 1915(e)(2). Docket No. 15. A copy of this Report was mailed to Plaintiff, who received it on June 14, 2023, and did not file written objections. Docket No. 18.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire

record and makes an independent assessment under the law. Douglass v. United

Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc), superseded on other

grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from

ten to fourteen days).

Here, Plaintiff did not object in the prescribed period. The Court therefore

reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and

reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S.

918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's Report are filed, the

standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report and the record in this case,

the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to

law. Accordingly, the Court hereby **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the

United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 15) as the findings of this Court. It is

therefore **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's claims against the Henderson County Jail are

dismissed from this suit for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1915A(b)

and 1915(e)(2). The Clerk shall terminate the Henderson County Jail as a Defendant

on this docket.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of August, 2023.

JEREMY D. KERNODLE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2